## THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS BOARD

In the Matter of:	)		
Clinical Solutions, Inc.	)	Case No.:	24-PRO-00073
t/a Clinical Health and Wellness	)	License No.:	130766
	)	Order No.:	2025-878
Application for a New	)		
Medical Cannabis Retailer License	)		
	)		
at premises	)		
4942 South Dakota Avenue, N.W.	)		
Washington, D.C. 20017	)		

**BEFORE:** Donovan Anderson, Chairperson

Silas Grant, Jr., Member Teri Janine Quinn, Member Ryan Jones, Member

David Meadows, Member

ALSO PRESENT: Clinical Solutions, Inc., t/a Clinical Health and Wellness, Applicant

Kerry Verdi, Counsel, on behalf of Advisory Neighborhood Commission

(ANC) 5A, Protestant

## ORDER DENYING SECOND MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

The Alcoholic Beverage and Cannabis Board denied Protestant ANC 5A's motion for reconsideration in Board Order No. 2025-815. The Board did not hold or request oral arguments on the motion, and the Board is not aware that any party in this matter that had made a request for oral arguments. Instead, based on the expiration of the relevant motion response periods, on August 13, 2025, the Board formally reviewed the first motion for reconsideration on the Board's August 13, 2025 Agenda, on the papers, and denied it.<sup>1</sup>

The Board's Order was followed by a second motion for reconsideration that argued that the ANC was entitled to advance notice of the Board's review of the motion on August 13, 2025, and that the failure to do so was a violation of due process. *Mot. for Recon. of Order Denying Mot. for Recon. Due to Lack of Notice*, at 2. The Board is not persuaded by this due process

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Alcoholic Beverage and Cannabis Board, *Legal Agenda – Medical Cannabis* (Aug. 13, 2025), https://abca.dc.gov/sites/default/files/dc/sites/abra/publication/attachments/ABC%20Dispositions%20----8-13-2025.pdf. (see page 9 in the PDF, item # 2).

claim because the ANC has not shown that the Board as a matter of constitutional or administrative law is prohibited from resolving motions on the papers alone or is otherwise required to hold oral arguments. Indeed, upon expiration of the motion response period, the ANC had no further opportunity to add to the record; as a result, granting the motion would be futile and not change the result in this case. 22-C DCMR §§ 9717.1-9717.2, 9717.5, 9717.7 (Notice of Eighth Emergency Rulemaking). Finally, it should be noted that the ANC waived the opportunity for oral arguments by failing to make an appropriate request under 22-C DCMR § 9720.4 in this matter. Therefore, the Board, on this 10th day of September 10, 2025, hereby **DENIES** the ANC's second motion for reconsideration. ABCA shall deliver a copy of this order to the Parties.

District of Columbia Alcoholic Beverage and Cannabis Board

eSigned v.a SeamlessDocs.com

Donovan Anderson

Ker. ac430be86945f00e4b730009d1dacd8

Donovan Anderson, Chairperson

Silas Grant, Jr., Member

Teri Janine Quinn

Teri Janine Quinn, Member

Ryan Jones, Member

David Meadows

David Meadows, Member

Any party adversely affected may file a Motion for Reconsideration of this decision within ten days of service of this Order with the Alcoholic Beverage and Cannabis Administration, 899 North Capitol Street, N.E., Suite 4200-A, Washington, D.C. 20002. Also, pursuant to § 11 of the District of Columbia Administrative Procedure Act, Pub. L. 90-614, 82 Stat. 1209, D.C. Code § 2-510 (2001), and Rule 15 of the District of Columbia Court of Appeals, a party that is adversely affected may have the right to appeal this Order by filing a petition for review, within 30 days of the date of service of this Order, with the District of Columbia Court of Appeals, located at 430 E Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20001. Parties are advised that the timely filing of a Motion for Reconsideration stays the time for filing a petition for review in the District of Columbia Court of Appeals until the Board rules on the motion. See D.C. App. Rule 15(b) (2004). Parties are further advised that the failure to present all matters of record that have allegedly been erroneously decided in a motion for reconsideration may result in the waiver of those matters being considered by the Board. The Board also reserves the right to summarily deny or not consider multiple and repetitive motions.

Parties are also advised that the Superior Court of the District of Columbia may have jurisdiction to hear appeals in non-contested cases or in matters where that court is specifically provided jurisdiction by law. Finally, advisory neighborhood commissions (ANCs) are advised that their right to appeal or challenge a decision of the Board may be limited by the laws governing ANCs. *See e.g.*, D.C. Code § 1-309.10(g).